POWER OF ATTORNEY

GATEWAY, INC., Assignee of the application for United States Letters Patent for

REMOTE OUT-OF-BOX EXPERIENCE DETERMINATION (Title)

by <u>Micha</u> (Invent	<u>el Sawyer</u> ors)
_X executed on the date(s) as indicated on the corres	sponding Declaration and Assignment therein, or
having Serial No, filed	1
a copy of the Assignment of which is attached hereto, do(es) hereby revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in	appoint as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and he Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:
24333	
Address correspondence to: GATEWAY, INC. Attention: Kenneth J. Cool	
Address: 610 Gateway Drive, Address: N. Sioux City, SD 57	
Telephone: (605) 232-1967	049
Assignee is the owner of this application by reason of an assignme herewith. In accordance with 37 CFR § 3.373(b), I certify that I have knowledge, all right, title, and interest is in the above-identified Assignment which was a statement or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and the application or any patent issuing thereon.	ent being filed with the Patent Office for recordation concurrently reviewed all documents in the chain of title, and to the best of my times, and I further declare that all statements made herein of my
Full Name of Assignee GATEWAY, INC.	
Post Office Address 14303 Gateway Place, Poway, CA	02064
Signature of Declarant or Assignee	Date (1-16-0)
Full Name of Declarant If Other Than Assignee Mark S. Walker, Reg. No.	30,699
Title of Declarant Group Counsel, Intellectual Pr	perty
Address of Declarant 14303 Gateway Place, Poway	. CA 92064



DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

Application No.

None

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled <u>REMOTE OUT-OF-BOX EXPERIENCE DETERMINATION</u>.

th			a <u>REMOTE OU</u>		,		
	ne specificatio	n of whi	ch				
(0	Check One):	<u>X</u>	is attached he was filed on_				as
			Application Se	erial No			
				nded on (if applicable)_			
th w § U al	ne claims, as a rhich is materi 1.56 printed Inited States Iso identified	amenderial to the on the Code §1 below a	d by any amend patentability o reverse side of I19 of any fore	dment(s) referred to ab f this application in acc f this Declaration. I he ign application(s) for p ication for patent or inv	tents of the above-identified ove. I acknowledge the duty ordance with Title 37, Code or ereby claim foreign priority batent or inventor's certificate rentor's certificate having a file	to disclose in of Federal Re enefits under listed below	formation gulations, Title 35, and have
	Application No.			Country	Date of Filing	Priority Claimed	
	∼ ₽₽	olication	No.	Country	Date of Filing	Priority (Claimed
	741	olication 	No.	Country	Date of Filing	Priority (No No
	~PI	None	No.	Country	Date of Filing		

Status-Patented, Pending or Abandoned

Date of Filing



PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

T.

ndia min Hong

APPLICABLE STATUTES & RULES

37 CFR 1.56: DUTY TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY.

	(a)	A patent by	its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the
time an ap	plication is b		
proceducin	or a patent a	ppiicauon nas	a duty of candor and good takin in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information in the contract of the co
the applicat	ion becomes	abandoned.	nformation material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is no
material to	the natentabil	the of any clair	n remaining under consideration with a reliability of a claim that is carrieded of wilndrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is no
claim The	duty to disale	and of ally clair	in remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing
Cialisi. The	auty to discit	se an monna	Will known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any allowed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any allowed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any allowed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any allowed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any allowed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any allowed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to be satisfied if all information known to be material to be satisfied.
patent was	cited by the C	MICE OF SUBILL	tied to the Onlice in the Manner prescribed by ss 1 9/10)-(d) and 1 98. However, no natent will be granted on an application in assessment with the
rraud on the	e Oπice was p	racticed or att	empled of the duty of disclosure was violated through pad faith of intentional misconduct The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
		(1)	prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
		(2)	the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentable
			defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office
	(b)	Under this s	ection information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
	· /		- The state of the control of the co
		(1)	It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim, or
		(2)	It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in.
		()	(i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
			(ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.
A nrima far	ria casa of u	nnatantahilitu	(ii) Assembly the internation paternation,
ctandard di	iving each ton	m in the claim	is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof
on ottomati	to octoblish o	contrary same	its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in usion of patentability
an attempt		Contrary Conc	usion or patentability
	(c)	individuals a	ssociated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are
		(1)	Each inventor named in the application;
		(2)	Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
		(3)	Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the unventor, with
			the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
	(d)	Individuals o	ther than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor
	. ,		The second by disclosing known and a lie attorney, agent, or inventor
35 U.S.C. 1	02: CONDITI	ONS FOR PA	FENTABILITY; NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT
	A person sh	all be entitled	to a patent unless
	(a)	the invention	was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the inventior
thereof by th	ne applicant fo	or patent, or	
	(b)	the invention	was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to
the date of t	he application	for patent in	the United States, or
	(c)		doned the invention, or
	(d)	the invention	Was first national or coursed to be national or use the subject of an invented a salidade. In the subject of the
a foreign co		the date of the	was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in
annlication i	in the United	States or	e application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the
application	(e)		was described as a patent resolution of the state of the
	(E)	tile iliveritor	was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant fo
patent, or o	ın arı internat	ionai applicati	on by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the
applicant fo	r patent, or		
	(f)	ne aid not hi	mself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
	(g)	before the a	oplicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining
priority of in	vention there	shall be consi	dered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to
conceive an	ıd last to redu	ce to practice,	from a time prior to conception by the other

35 U.S. C. 103: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

35 U.S.C. 119: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN FOREIGN COUNTY; RIGHT OF PRIORITY (Applicable Portion)

An application for patent for an invention filed in this country by any person who has, or whose legal representatives or assigns have, previously regularly filed an application for a patent for the same invention in a foreign country which affords similar privileges in the case of applications filed in the United States or to citizens of the United States, shall have the same effect as the same application would have if filed in this county on the date on which the application for patent for the same invention was first filed in such foreign county, if the application in this county is filed within twelve months from the earliest date on which his characteristic patents for an invention which has been patented or described in a printed publication in any country more than one year before the date of the actual filling of the application in this country, or which had been in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to such filling.

35 U.S.C. 120: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN THE UNITED STATES

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, by the same invention shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application

35 U.S.C. 112: SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The Specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the making and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enabler any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctive claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention





24333

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURE(S)

Full name of first inventor: Michael Sawyer	
Inventor's signature	
Date	Country of CitizenshipU.S.A.
Residence Sioux City, IA	
Post Office Address 3400 Walden Ave, Sioux City, IA 51106	